

Lady Margaret School Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) Policy

Edition: February 2023

Review Date: February 2024



Contents

Purpose of the policy4
What are non-examination assessments?4
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities
The basic principles4
Task setting5
Issuing of tasks5
Task taking5
Supervision5
Advice and feedback6
Resources6
Word and time limits6
Collaboration and group work6
Authentication procedures6
Presentation of work
Keeping materials secure
Task marking – externally assessed components8
Conduct of externally assessed work8
Task marking – internally assessed components8
Marking and annotation8
Internal standardisation9
Submission of marks and work for moderation9
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks
External moderation – the process
External moderation – feedback
Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments
Special consideration and loss of work
Malpractice
Post-results services
Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England12
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments
Appendix 1 – NEA Deadline and Checklist 2022/23

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment (NEA).

The regulators' definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment. (NEA, section 1)

What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking. (NEA, section 1)

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of Centre

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

SLT Subject Line Manager

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year
- Completes in conjunction with subject teacher the NEA Deadline and Checklist (See appendix 1)

Head of Departments/SLT subject line manager

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the Exams Officer to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the Exams Officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Exams Officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ NEA publication to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment
- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body
 OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

• Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements

- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be
 possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents Information for candidates nonexamination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ Information for candidates' documents

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - o signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met

- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs a member of the senior leadership team
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in NEA unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or
 partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of
 the contents of the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the
 deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided
 that the originals are stored securely as required

Director of IT

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the Exams Officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ *Instructions for conducting examinations*
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams Officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ *Instructions for conducting examinations*

Submission of work

Subject teacher

Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

Exams Officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of Centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close
 personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes stepfamily, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g
 son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

HOD/Subject teacher

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Checks that the marks have been added up correctly and inputs the final marks into SIMS (this
 procedure should be completed by two members of staff to ensure accuracy)

Exams Officer

- Creates a mail merge with all the NEA marks for all subjects.
- All candidates informed of NEA marks with policy and next steps by email from the Exams Officer.

- All candidates then have a two week window to submit an internal appeal/request for a review of
 marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to
 the awarding body
- Final marks submitted to the Awarding Organisation by internal deadline.

Internal standardisation

Head of Department

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
 - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - o after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Hod/Subject teacher

- Inputs marks into SIMS, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the
 relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator
 in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the Exams Officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Exams Officer

- Submit the NEA marks via SIMS and A2C, keeping a record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - o moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - o proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results

- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates'
 work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific
 information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Hod/Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

Exams Officer

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation - the process

Hod/Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External moderation - feedback

Hod

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

Exams Officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Hod/Subject teacher

 Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

SENCO

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessments including Reasonable Adjustments for GCE Alevel sciences – Endorsement of practical skills
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal
 way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where
 required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Hod/Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the Exams Officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the Exams Officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams Officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
 - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
 - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
 - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to Form 15 JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits
 to the relevant awarding body

Malpractice

Head of Centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates-or centre staff.
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Hod/Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the Head of Centre

Exams Officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures to the Head of Centre
- Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents
- Where required, supports the Head of Centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Post-results services

Head of Centre

Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services

 Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

Hod/Subject teacher

- Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results
- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the Exams Officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

Exams Officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication Post-Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

Head of Centre

- Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register
 annual update confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all
 candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical
 activities
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Head of Science/ SLT Line Manager

- Confirms understanding of the *Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England* and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying the standards appropriately
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A Level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes any training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of a monitoring visit

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline

Exams Officer

 Accepts contact with the monitor and passes information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks notice Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body to the external deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of Centre

Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register
annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all
candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language
endorsement

Head of English/SLT Line Manager

- Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments
- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams Officer

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by	
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with		
	and follow:		
	the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-		
	examination assessments		
	 the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non- 		
	examination-assessments		
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they		
Canadate marprassiss	must not:		
	submit work which is not their own		
	make available their work to other candidates through any medium		
	allow other candidates to have access to their own		
	independently sourced material		
	 assist other candidates to produce work use books, the internet or other sources without 		
	use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution		
	 submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement 		
	include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material		
	Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ		
	documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments		
	and Information for candidates – Social Media -		
	www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents		
	and understand they must not post their work on social media		
	Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior		
failure/corruption of task details	to start of course		
where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access		
nom the awarding body online	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details		
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training		
fails to meet the assessment	information, practice materials etc.		
criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting		
specification	arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification		
Candidates do not understand the	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in		
marking criteria and what they	the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual		
need to do to gain credit	candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates		
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria		
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria		
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence		
during the task setting stage	at key points in the exam cycle)		
Awarding body set task not issued	Issuing of tasks Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the		
to candidates on time	specification noted prior to start of course		
	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task		
	will be issued and needs to be completed by		
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing		
The suppose to the street	and teaching		
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to		
Candidates	candidates		
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved		
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan in Examinations Policy (Teaching		
during the issuing of tasks stage	staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)		

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by		
A candidate (or parent/carer)	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample			
expresses concern about	which will be recorded			
safeguarding, confidentiality or	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to			
faith in undertaking a task such as a	record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample			
presentation that may be recorded	Task taking			
Supervision	Task taking			
Planned assessments clash with	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course			
other centre or candidate activities	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar			
Rooms or facilities inadequate for	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for			
candidates to take tasks under	the start of the course			
appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number			
	of candidates			
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the			
Insufficient cunomision of	same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ			
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments			
authenticated	and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's			
adtrerrieded	specification in relation to the supervision of candidates			
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as			
	detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy			
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions			
malpractice prior to submitting	for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice)			
their work for assessment	are followed			
	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary			
	procedures are followed			
Access arrangements were not put	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the			
in place for an assessment where a	special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to			
candidate is approved for	be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate			
arrangements Advice and feedback				
Candidate claims appropriate	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record			
advice and feedback not given by	all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the			
subject teacher prior to starting on	centre's quality assurance procedures			
their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to			
	confirm monitoring activity			
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to			
	candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject			
	and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting			
	on their work			
Candidate claims no advice and	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record			
feedback given by subject teacher	all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking			
during the task-taking stage	stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures			
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to			
	confirm monitoring activity			
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates			
	during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and			
	component			
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-			
A third party claims that assisted as	taking stage An investigation is conducted, candidates and subject teacher are			
A third party claims that assistance	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant			
was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given			
that allowed in the regulations and	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the			
specification	awarding body			
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before			
information from published source	work is submitted for formal assessment			
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for			
	candidates: non-examination assessments			

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,		
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion		
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out		
references as required	of references before work is submitted for formal assessment		
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments		
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,		
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion		
Candidate joins the course late	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch		
after formally supervised task	up		
taking has started			
Candidate moves to another centre	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done		
during the course	depending on the stage at which the move takes place		
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the		
complete a non-examination assessment(s)	specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are		
assessifierit(s)	made separately for the candidate		
Resources	made separately for the canadate		
A candidate augments notes and	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept		
resources between formally	secure between formally supervised sessions		
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and		
	kept secure between formally supervised sessions		
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is		
	restricted between formally supervised sessions		
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,		
for assessment	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources		
ioi assessinent	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the		
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records		
	acknowledges sources appropriately		
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding		
	body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the		
	awarding body for the candidate		
Word and time limits			
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to		
awarding body for exceeding word	determine if word or time limits are mandatory		
or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them		
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word		
	or time limits is known and understood		
Collaboration and group work			
Candidates have worked in groups	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to		
where the awarding body	determine if group work is permitted		
specification states this is not	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved		
permitted			
Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the	Pacardo confirm cubiact staff have been made aware of the ICO		
authenticity of the work submitted	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates'		
by a candidate for internal	work		
assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ		
	document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments		
Candidate plagiarises other	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do		
material	to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as		
	outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-		
	examination assessments		
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment		
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body		
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ		
authentication statement/declaration	document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments		
statement, decidi ation			

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
Presentation of work		I
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Keeping materials secure		Г
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
Candidates work produced electronically is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures: • access to this material is restricted	
	 appropriate security safeguards are in place an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained 	
	any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it	
A candidate is absent on the day of	Task marking – externally assessed components Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	Γ
the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	
	Task marking – internally assessed components	
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication	
	Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the Exams Officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	

Lady Margaret School NEA Deadlines & Checklist 2022/23

Please fill in a <u>separate</u> form for each qualification level that has an NEA element.

Please submit the basic information and the proposed dates by Monday 18th July 2022.

Wait for dates to be confirmed.

Date to submit marks etc. to Exams Officer

Added to the Examinations & Assessment Calendar

(N.B this needs to be by 17/03/23)

Agreement in principle by: (SLT Line Manager to sign)

Department Name:

Re-submit the form to confirm compliance with the Policy & procedures by Monday 31 October 2022.

At each deadline, keep a copy for the department and send a copy to the Exams and Office Manager.

Member/s of staff responsible:				
Qualification Level:			Year Gro	oup: 13 12 11 10
(A Level or GCSE)			Please circ	cle
JCQ 'Instructions for conducting i	non-examination assessn	nents' 2022-2023		
booklet has been received and re	ead (see link)			
https://www.jcq.org.uk/wp-content/up	loads/2022/01/Instructions_N	EA_21-22_FINAL.pdf		
Please note the changes to last year's boo	oklet are <mark>highlighted in yellow</mark> t	for easy identification.		
Examinations Policy 2023 has been	en <u>received and read</u> . Ple	ase note Appendix 4 .		
JCQ document 'JCQ Information for candidates NEA 22/23' has been given to/read through with candidates (see link) https://www.jcq.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/IFC-NE Assessments 2022 FINAL.pdf				
Contact has been made with the I	NEA Advisor at the Award	ding Organisation		
(AO) regarding all expectations ab	out NEA administration f	or 2023. Note date.		
Proposed Departmental Deadline				
These dates need to be discussed	l with your SLT line mana	ger before you sub		•
	l with your SLT line mana			equest. Date(s) confirmed as/ amended to:
These dates need to be discussed	l with your SLT line mana es: Monday 18 th July	ger before you sub		Date(s) confirmed as/
These dates need to be discussed Deadline to submit proposed dat	I with your SLT line manaes: Monday 18 th July If timetable to complete	ger before you sub		Date(s) confirmed as/
These dates need to be discussed Deadline to submit proposed dat Will students be required to be of	with your SLT line manaes: Monday 18 th July f timetable to complete which date(s)?	ger before you sub		Date(s) confirmed as/
These dates need to be discussed Deadline to submit proposed dat Will students be required to be of the NEA? If yes, for how long and	with your SLT line manaes: Monday 18 th July f timetable to complete which date(s)?	ger before you sub		Date(s) confirmed as/
These dates need to be discussed Deadline to submit proposed dat Will students be required to be of the NEA? If yes, for how long and Will teachers need to be off timet	with your SLT line mana es: Monday 18 th July if timetable to complete which date(s)? able to invigilate the	ger before you sub		Date(s) confirmed as/
These dates need to be discussed Deadline to submit proposed dat Will students be required to be of the NEA? If yes, for how long and Will teachers need to be off timet NEA? Who will this be? Specify any rooming requirement Email/letter to parents & students	is communicating	ger before you sub		Date(s) confirmed as/
These dates need to be discussed Deadline to submit proposed dat Will students be required to be of the NEA? If yes, for how long and Will teachers need to be off timet NEA? Who will this be? Specify any rooming requirement	is communicating	ger before you sub Proposed Date(s):		Date(s) confirmed as/
These dates need to be discussed Deadline to submit proposed dat Will students be required to be of the NEA? If yes, for how long and Will teachers need to be off timet NEA? Who will this be? Specify any rooming requirement Email/letter to parents & students	with your SLT line mana es: Monday 18 th July if timetable to complete which date(s)? able to invigilate the s. s communicating h & 2. Prior to deadline	Proposed Date(s):		Date(s) confirmed as/
These dates need to be discussed Deadline to submit proposed dat Will students be required to be of the NEA? If yes, for how long and Will teachers need to be off timet NEA? Who will this be? Specify any rooming requirement Email/letter to parents & students departmental deadlines: 1. Launce	with your SLT line mana es: Monday 18 th July if timetable to complete which date(s)? able to invigilate the s. s communicating h & 2. Prior to deadline	Proposed Date(s):		Date(s) confirmed as/
These dates need to be discussed Deadline to submit proposed data. Will students be required to be of the NEA? If yes, for how long and Will teachers need to be off timet NEA? Who will this be? Specify any rooming requirement Email/letter to parents & students departmental deadlines: 1. Launc Submission date of practice piece	if timetable to complete which date(s)? able to invigilate the scommunicating h & 2. Prior to deadline or first draft	Proposed Date(s):		Date(s) confirmed as/
These dates need to be discussed Deadline to submit proposed data. Will students be required to be of the NEA? If yes, for how long and Will teachers need to be off timet NEA? Who will this be? Specify any rooming requirement Email/letter to parents & students departmental deadlines: 1. Launc Submission date of practice piece Include time and location:	if timetable to complete which date(s)? which date(s)? which date(s)? which date the s. s. communicating h & 2. Prior to deadline or first draft	Proposed Date(s):		Date(s) confirmed as/

(For office use only)

Please Note: Record Keeping

- When creating a spreadsheet to record your NEA marks, please use a SIMS list so that all names are in alphabetical order by surname (speak to LIS for a blank list)
- Add the candidate number to this original list in order to make it easier for sorting, inputting marks and answering queries
- Include the date(s) of when each step is completed so you have a clear log

Checklist:	Whole school deadline	Met?
		Tick and date
Last date for student submission of work.	Friday 10 February 2023	
All candidate declaration sheets (e.g. CRF) should be signed by	Friday 10 February	
student and teacher at the point of handing in the work. (see	2023	
pages 13-14 in your JCQ booklet)		
A copy of each form should be retained by the departmental member of staff responsible.		
Once NEA work has been submitted for formal assessment it	Specify secure storage	
must be stored securely.	facility:	
This can be stored with the Exams Officer if required.	,	
Moderated marks submitted on SIMS marksheet	Thursday 16 March	
(Please inform Exams and Office Manger when completed)	2023	
1) Check the marks have been added up correctly		
2) Check the marks have been correctly inputted to the SIMS		
marksheet.		
Ensure this procedure is completed by two members of staff		
to ensure accuracy.		
Complete AO Centre declaration. A copy of this form should		
be retained by the departmental member of staff responsible.		
Students informed of NEA marks with Policy and next steps.	Thursday 16 March	
This will be sent by email from the Exams Officer.	2023	
Appeal procedure: Two-week window given for students to	Dates for appeals:	
submit request for review of marking.	Friday 17 March 2023 –	
	Friday 31 March 2023	
Any changes to NEA marks are to be updated on SIMS. This	Reviews completed &	
should also be double checked by departments before being	students informed	
sent to the Awarding Organisation.	Monday 17 April 2023-	
	Tuesday 25 April 2023	
Final marks submitted to the Awarding Organisation	Friday 28 April 2023	
Prepare sample list for work to be sent to moderator (if		
applicable). Record forms must be included.		
Sample lists are normally generated after the marks have been		
uploaded. The highest & lowest marks need to be included in		
the sample regardless of whether the candidates are listed.		
GDPR: Date by which hard copies & electronic versions need to	31 August 2029	
be destroyed.		